

AHSC Draft Round 5 FY18-19 Narrative Rubric

Guidelines Reference	Max Points	Example Score	Guiding Questions
Collaboration & Planning			
Local Planning Efforts And Housing and Transportation Collaboration	4		
<p>Local Planning Efforts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify what local planning efforts the Project implements, and if applicable, describe what particular components of the Project are derived from a local plan. Explain how local government agencies were involved in the process of creating the proposed AHSC Project. <p><i>Upload: Local Planning Document</i></p> <p>Housing and Transportation Collaboration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe the relationship between the joint-applicants or partners that worked together to create the proposed AHSC Project. Explain the process involved in coming together to create a larger vision for the Project Area. Describe how housing, transportation, and urban 		<p>0: Applicant does not identify local plan or planning efforts that the Project implements. No local government agencies or partners were involved in development of the Project. Applicant makes no effort to explain an integrated Project.</p> <p>1-2: Applicant provides vague answers regarding local plans or planning efforts being implemented (e.g. "city needs more housing, provide more bike lanes"). Local government agencies or partners were minimally involved in development of the Project components. Applicant explains or demonstrates partial integration of housing, transportation, and urban greening components.</p> <p>3-4: Applicant provides explicit detail on integration of local planning efforts that include multiple program goals (e.g. housing, active transportation, community connectivity, transit oriented development) into the Project. Multiple local government agencies and Project partners were engaged to identify and develop Project components from early on and through full Project development. Applicant explains or demonstrates full integration of housing, transportation, and urban greening components, and explains how interaction of Project components is beneficial to Project Area.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Which planning efforts are addressed? How thoroughly are identified planning efforts addressed by Project? Which local agencies are engaged? (e.g. Planning Department, Public Works, Transit Agency) At what stage(s) in the Project development process did Applicant seek local agencies' input? Does Applicant describe how agencies' input shaped the Project in material way? Does Applicant demonstrate a collaborative relationship between joint-applicants or partners throughout development of Project vision and components?

AHSC Draft Round 5 FY18-19 Narrative Rubric

Guidelines Reference	Max Points	Example Score	Guiding Questions
<p>greening infrastructure components are integrated to make a cohesive Project that benefits Project Area.</p> <p><i>Uploads: Site Plan, Project Area Map, Context Plan</i></p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does Applicant describe the process for selection and development of proposed AHSC Project components by joint-applicants or partners? • Does Applicant explain how housing, transportation, and urban greening components are integrated into a cohesive Project that will benefit Project Area?
Community Benefits & Engagement			
Community Engagement and Leadership	6		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe how Community-Based Organizations (CBOs) and local residents have been meaningfully involved in the visioning and development of this Project. • Explain in which stage(s) of the process community members and CBOs have been and will be engaged. • Explain efforts made to involve disadvantaged and/or low-income community residents, 		<p>0: CBOs and residents were not informed of or involved in Project visioning and development. No plan is laid out for future engagement. Applicant conducted no engagement to identify community needs.</p> <p>1-2: CBOs and residents were informed of, but not involved in, Project visioning and development. Plan is laid out for future engagement, but provides no or minimal details. Applicant conducted no or limited direct engagement to identify and meet community needs.</p> <p>3-4: CBOs and residents were brought in to Project development process after Project component selection. Plan is laid out for future engagement but</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Were community residents or CBOs involved in development and selection of Project components included in application? • Did Applicant make efforts to inform stakeholders about Project development process in order to solicit their input? • Did Applicant demonstrate opportunities for resident involvement were marketed and made accessible to multiple

AHSC Draft Round 5 FY18-19 Narrative Rubric

Guidelines Reference	Max Points	Example Score	Guiding Questions
<p>including how meetings were advertised and made accessible</p> <p><i>Uploads: Letter of support, Engagement Tracker</i></p>		<p>does not consider how to ensure broad CBO or resident participation. Applicant conducted engagement to identify community needs, and requested community input on how to meet those needs. Applicant made some effort to make opportunities for involvement accessible to all Project Area residents.</p> <p>5-6: CBOs and residents were involved from initial visioning of the Project, and a clear, detailed plan is laid out for how they will be engaged as Project moves forward. Applicant made strong effort to make opportunities for involvement accessible to all Project Area residents. Applicant conducted in-depth engagement to identify community needs.</p>	<p>constituencies, particularly low-income and disadvantaged groups?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does Applicant detail a clear process for identifying needs of residents and key stakeholders from Project area?
Addressing Community Needs	4		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate how the proposed AHSC Project meets one or more identified community needs, articulating how these needs were identified. • Describe how the proposed AHSC Project addresses community needs beyond the provisions of housing and transportation. <p><i>Uploads: Letter of support, Engagement Tracker</i></p>		<p>0: Community needs beyond housing and transportation are not identified or addressed.</p> <p>1-2: Community needs beyond housing and transportation are minimally identified or addressed.</p> <p>3-4: Project addresses community identified needs well beyond housing and transportation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does Project demonstrate the incorporation of community input and identified needs? • Are a broad spectrum of community needs addressed, beyond housing and transportation?
Community Climate Resiliency			

AHSC Draft Round 5 FY18-19 Narrative Rubric

Guidelines Reference	Max Points	Example Score	Guiding Questions
Climate Adaptation	4		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Using an up-to-date climate vulnerability assessment, identify climate vulnerabilities for Project Area and surrounding community. Describe how potential climate impacts are taken into consideration in the design of the proposed Project. <p><i>Uploads: Climate Adaptation Assessment Matrix</i></p> <p><i>Note: Applicants may state adaptive measures being taken in the Climate Adaptation Assessment Matrix and not mention those measures in the narrative response.</i></p>		<p>0: Applicant does not discuss consideration of climate adaptation or vulnerability of project, or how Project seeks to address adaptation needs significantly beyond State mandated requirements.</p> <p>1: Applicant identifies climate vulnerabilities but may not reference Cal-Adapt or other reliable data source. Applicant gives general description of how Project addresses adaptation needs. Measure(s) described to address climate vulnerabilities have minimal impact.</p> <p>2: Applicant identifies climate vulnerabilities found in Cal-Adapt or other reliable data source. Applicant gives site-specific description of Project Area's adaptation needs. Adaptive measures mitigate at least one vulnerability in significant way or partially address multiple vulnerabilities.</p> <p>3. Applicant identifies climate vulnerabilities found in Cal-Adapt or other reliable data source. Applicant gives site-specific description of Project Area's adaptation needs. Measures significantly address multiple vulnerabilities in the near future.</p> <p>4. Applicant identifies climate vulnerabilities founds in Cal-Adapt or other reliable data source. Applicant gives site-specific description of Project Area's adaptation needs, considering the near future up to the year 2100. Adaptive measures mitigate multiple vulnerabilities in significant ways in the near future. Measures also address or are at least scalable to address heightened vulnerabilities expected in 2100.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are impacts identified through Cal-Adapt or an up-to-date vulnerability assessment? Does Project incorporate design features that increase community's resilience to climate change? Does applicant display understanding of vulnerabilities from climate impacts and describe how adaptation measures work together to address them? Does Project address vulnerabilities expected by the year 2050? What about those by 2100? Does Applicant go beyond program and California minimum requirements to address climate vulnerabilities?

AHSC Draft Round 5 FY18-19 Narrative Rubric

Guidelines Reference	Max Points	Example Score	Guiding Questions
Community Air Pollution Exposure Mitigation			
Air Pollution Exposure Mitigation Strategies	2		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify pollutants of concern using CalEnviroScreen 3.0 or other reliable data source. Identify known sources of pollution in Project Area, and describe how air pollution mitigation strategies are utilized in the design of the Project <p><i>Note: A geographic area's percentile for a given indicator simply tells the percentage of areas with lower values of that indicator. A percentile does not describe the magnitude of the difference between two or more areas. For example, an area ranked in the 30th percentile is not necessarily three times more impacted than an area ranked in the 10th percentile.</i></p>		<p>0: Applicant does not discuss consideration of air quality in Project Area, or how Project seeks to address air quality needs significantly beyond State mandated requirements.</p> <p>1: Applicant identifies levels of pollutants of concern indicated in CalEnviroScreen 3.0 or other reliable data source. Applicant gives general description of how Project design mitigates exposure to pollutants of concern or improves air quality. Measure(s) described to address air pollution have moderate impact.</p> <p>2. Applicant identifies pollutants of concern indicated in CalEnviroScreen 3.0 or other reliable data source. Applicant identifies local pollution source(s), and uses appropriate measures to mitigate exposure to source(s) in a significant way.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are impacts identified through appropriate indicators in CalEnviroScreen 3.0 or another reliable data source? Does Project identify one or more known pollution sources in greater Project Area? Does Applicant go beyond Program and California minimum requirements and local requirements to mitigate air pollution exposure?